

Final Evaluation Form

Results in overview:

IPP Italy 2006

1. General

25	Returned Questionnaires
5	Staff
23	Participants

2. Personal Information

203 Age		
26,2		Mean Age
20	80%	under 25
0	0%	26-30
5	20%	31-40
4	16%	over 40
205 Sex		
19	76%	Female
6	24%	Male
207 How do you describe your previous CISV involvement?		
4,3		Average
13	52%	6 Participated/been leader/staff in various CISV activities & active on local/intern. level
1	4%	5 Participated or been leader/staff in a number of programmes
5	20%	4 A lot of CISV experience
4	16%	3 Some CISV experience
0	0%	2 Done one international CISV activity
5	20%	1 First international CISV activity
208 How related was this IPP to your profession/education?		
3,1		Average
1	4%	6 What we did here in the practical project is what I do at my job.
4	16%	5 The theme/practical project is closely related to my profession/education
6	24%	4 The theme/practical project is loosely connected to my profession/education
8	32%	3 No professional/educational background but I have been active in this area otherwise
4	16%	2 Few experience in this area
5	20%	1 I had no experience in this are

3. Preparation

Mean Results (6=Excellent, 1=Very Poor)	
4,1	The preparation of yourself for this project (301)
4,0	The preparation of your delegation for this project(302)
3,3	The preparation of the staff for this project (303)
3,5	Were the spec. objectives of this IPP clearly communicated, defined and discussed? (304)
3,8	Pre-camps and other pre-camp communication (305)
4,1	Support you received from your national/local IPP co-ordinator? (306)
307 How did your delegation prepare for this IPP? Please describe the process (Excerpts):.	
#####	

4. Educational/Thematic Part

Mean Results (6=Excellent, 1=Very Poor)	
4,9	Quality of the country presentations (401)
4,3	Quality of the external speakers(402)
3,8	Quality of the theme-related field trips (403)
3,8	Was the theme explored enough? (404)
405 Please describe the most valuable educational activities of this IPP. Country presentations, external speakers, field trips included. Describe why these activities were valuable for you.(Excerpts:)	
Country activities (13), guest speakers (11), seeing how the Roma kids live (7), the labs with the kids (2), simulation activities, discussions	

5. Practical/Working Part

501 How would you rate the amount of work you did?	
7,3	(Mean Result: 10 Too much work, 1 not enough work)
502 How do you rate the contribution of this IPP to the life of the local community	
4,4	(Mean Result) and the work of the partner organization?
503 How close did you work alongside people from the community or from the partner organization?	
3,6	(Mean Result)
504 Being an open activity, IPP may bring risks for CISV and the IPP participants : physical / safety or social / psychological risks. The first ones are related to dangers arising from the working part, general security on project site, medical services, etc. The last ones are related to the contact of CISV and non-CISVers and cultural shocks that may arise from this contact. Do you believe this project was prepared concerning all these aspects and gave you the necessary support?	
92%	Yes Concerning physical / safety risks
64%	Yes Concerning social / psychological risks
Why? (Excerpts)	
There were potential risks working with the Roma kids, not sure if we were trained to handle psychologically damaged kids (2), there were many risks to participants and youths (3), very safe, I didn't have any problems (2), risks were dealt with well, staff were prepared (2)	
505 Please describe the most valuable parts of the practical/working part of this IPP to you (Excerpts)	
Knowing we made a difference (2), seeing how proud the guys were on the open day, the trust/relationship developed on both sides (8), the workshops (7), seeing how the kids changed over time (6), all the practical part, working with the teenagers (3), the show at the end (3)	

6. Staff

What do you think about the staff's...?	
4,5	Openness (for ideas) and flexibility (601)
4,2	Overall performance (602)
603 Do you feel they were managing this IPP well? What could they have done better? (Excerpts:)	
I don't think there was enough support from the local chapter (6), needed better preparation before the IPP, especially in terms of the partner organisation (14), they did a good job (6), the international staff were great and more flexible (4), they were caring and understanding, they worked very hard (3)	

7. Summary and Miscellaneous

4,4	Quality of the food, accommodation and other practicals (701)
-----	---------------------------------------------------------------

4,2	Group feeling (702)
703 Do you believe that the cultural differences were clearly noticed and understood in this IPP?	
104% Yes	Among participants?
108% Yes	Among staff?
84% Yes	Between participants and staff?
104% Yes	Between IPP staff / participants and members of local community?
How did it affect the development of the project? (Give examples that support your answer) Excerpts:	
we were open to different points of view, some participants had to adapt to the relaxed 'Italian way' (6), our goals/objectives weren't affected (2), not everyone was fluent in English, we got a better understanding of cultural differences, staff tried to resolve problems (2), it was difficult for vegetarians, everyone was open and respectful	
704 How motivated are you to further commit to the area of this IPP?	
4,8	(Mean answer)
705 How will you apply what you've experienced here in your country? (Excerpts)	
I will be involved with Mosaic/another IPP (6), I'm thinking of how to apply what I learnt (4), small changes in my everyday life (5), I'm motivated to get involved and share what I learnt, I will be more open to this social group, I will use what I learnt in my career (4), find a volunteer org to work with (3), I will write my thesis on one of the partner orgs	
4,1	To what extent were the specific goals and objectives achieved? (706)
4,7	To what extent were your personal goals & objectives achieved? (707)
4,5	Please try to give this IPP an overall score. (708)
709 More comments and recommendations for future IPPs? (Excerpts)	
more local chapter support (6), more structure/preparation (7), this project is cisv to me, the IPP should be something lasting - not just fun, better cooperation with partner org, this was a life changing experience (7) all participants need to know why they are there (2), this was the best thing I've done in cisv (3), better training by NIPPC's (3), having international staff is wonderful, early contact/cooperation with partner org is essential (5)	