

# Final Evaluation Form

Results in overview:

**IPP Thailand 2005 - 2006**

| <b>1. General</b> |                         |
|-------------------|-------------------------|
| 19                | Returned Questionnaires |
| 2                 | Staff                   |
| 17                | Participants            |

| <b>2. Personal Information</b>                                    |   |
|---|---|
| <b>203 Age</b>  |   |
| 32,3  | Mean Age  |
| 8 42%   | under 25  |
| 1 5%  | 26-30   |
| 5 26%   | 31-40   |
| 5 26%   | over 40   |
| <b>205 Sex</b>  |   |
| 13 68%  | Female  |
| 4 21%   | Male  |
| <b>207 How do you describe your previous CISV involvement?</b>    |   |
| 4,2   | Average   |
| 8 42%   | 6 Participated/been leader/staff in various CISV activities & active on local/intern. level |
| 3 16%   | 5 Participated or been leader/staff in a number of programmes                               |
| 0 0%  | 4 A lot of CISV experience  |
| 3 16%   | 3 Some CISV experience  |
| 2 11%   | 2 Done one international CISV activity  |
| 3 16%   | 1 First international CISV activity   |
| <b>208 How related was this IPP to your profession/education?</b> |   |
| 3,4   | Average   |
| 0 0%  | 6 What we did here in the practical project is what I do at my job.                         |
| 5 26%   | 5 The theme/practical project is closely related to my profession/education                 |
| 6 32%   | 4 The theme/practical project is loosely connected to my profession/education               |
| 2 11%   | 3 No professional/educational background but I have been active in this area otherwise      |
| 3 16%   | 2 Few experience in this area   |
| 3 16%   | 1 I had no experience in this are   |

| <b>3. Preparation</b>  |  |
|--|--|
| Mean Results (6=Excellent, 1=Very Poor)  |  |
| 4,2  | The preparation of yourself for this project (301)                                       |
| 4,4  | The preparation of your delegation for this project(302)                                 |
| 3,7  | The preparation of the staff for this project (303)                                      |
| 3,1  | Were the spec. objectives of this IPP clearly communicated, defined and discussed? (304) |
| 3,0  | Pre-camps and other pre-camp communication (305)   |
| 4,4  | Support you received from your national/local IPP co-ordinator? (306)                    |
| <b>307 How did your delegation prepare for this IPP? Please describe the process (Excerpts):.</b>  |  |
| <p>Mini camp with Morgan children (4), homestay (2), external speakers spoke about the realities of the tsunami disaster (4), field trips were very educational (5), would have been good to have expert speakers on trauma and cross cultural issues in relief work, teaching the children (3), education side relied too much on talking and seeing, rather than hands on experiencing</p> |  |

#### 4. Educational/Thematic Part

Mean Results (6=Excellent, 1=Very Poor)

|     |  |
|-----|--|
| 3,8 | Quality of the country presentations (401)     |
| 4,0 | Quality of the external speakers(402)          |
| 4,1 | Quality of the theme-related field trips (403) |
| 3,3 | Was the theme explored enough? (404)           |

405 Please describe the most valuable educational activities of this IPP. Country presentations, external speakers, field trips included. Describe why these activities were valuable for you.(Excerpts:)

Mini camp with Morgan children (4), homestay (2), external speakers spoke about the realities of the tsunami disaster (4), field trips were very educational (5), would have been good to have expert speakers on trauma and cross cultural issues in relief work, teaching the children (3), education side relied too much on talking and seeing, rather than hands on experiencing

#### 5. Practical/Working Part

501 How would you rate the **amount of work** you did?

|     |  |
|-----|--|
| 5,9 | (Mean Result: 10 Too much work, 1 not enough work) |
|-----|--|

502 How do you rate the **contribution** of this IPP to the life of the local community

|     |               |   |
|-----|---------------|---|
| 3,8 | (Mean Result) | and the work of the partner organization? |
|-----|---------------|---|

503 How **close** did you work alongside people from the community or from the partner organization?

|     |               |
|-----|---------------|
| 3,8 | (Mean Result) |
|-----|---------------|

504 Being an open activity, IPP may bring **risks for CISV and the IPP participants:**

physical / safety or social / psychological risks. The first ones are related to dangers arising from the working part, general security on project site, medical services, etc. The last ones are related to the contact of CISV and non-CISVers and cultural shocks that may arise from this contact. Do you believe this project was prepared concerning all these aspects and gave you the necessary support?

|     |     |                                    |
|-----|-----|------------------------------------|
| 89% | Yes | Concerning physical / safety risks |
|-----|-----|------------------------------------|

|     |     |   |
|-----|-----|---|
| 79% | Yes | Concerning social / psychological risks |
|-----|-----|---|

Why? (Excerpts)

The staff were concerned for our health, our biggest problem was language, at times the driving was erratic and I felt at risk (2), there was little consideration of risk management (2), the campsite was five minutes from a hospital, we were warned to research traumatized children before we came, we didn't ever face any safety risks or have psychological needs, staff gave us all the necessary support, we needed more structured reflections on the feelings involved, I am unsure the staff adequately considered the psychological impact of our activities with the children (4), we were not informed enough of the trauma the children might have suffered (2),

505 Please describe the **most valuable parts** of the practical/working part of this IPP to you (Excerpts)

Mini camp with Morgan children (7), I realised that trauma can be healed but will never be forgotten (3), contact with the communities and children, including the homestay (8), great experience co ordinating activities and lessons with people of different cultures, connecting with the children while teaching (6), learning from the kids (2), being exposed to parts of Thailand which tourists do not get to see, I did not feel connected to any real project wholly

#### 6. Staff

What do you think about the staff's...?

|     |  |
|-----|--|
| 3,8 | Openness (for ideas) and flexibility (601) |
| 4,2 | Overall performance (602)                  |

603 Do you feel they were managing this IPP well? What could they have done better? (Excerpts:)

I appreciate the hard work done by the staff (8), the staff should have let the participants have more input (5), we needed more knowledge of the plans, they needed to delegate more within the staff group (5), great camp director, efficient in terms of practical matters (3), the staff did more than enough, it hurt the group that the staff was interchanging themselves, they were very welcoming and enthusiastic (4), lack of planning and organization, the schedule needed more work (2), they were not willing to hear

| 7. Summary and Miscellaneous  |   |
|---|---|
| 5,2   | Quality of the food, accommodation and other practicals (701)         |
| 3,5   | Group feeling (702)   |
| 703 Do you believe that the <b>cultural differences</b> were clearly noticed and understood in this IPP?  |   |
| 68% Yes   | Among participants?   |
| 68% Yes   | Among staff?  |
| 47% Yes   | Between participants and staff?                                       |
| 68% Yes   | Between IPP staff / participants and members of local community?      |
| How did it affect the development of the project? (Give examples that support your answer) Excerpts:  |   |
| Cultural differences were openly discussed several times (2), I'm not sure if it was cultural differences or communication style which was the problem, there was a gap between the browns and the whites, we helped each other to work (2), we respected each other, when we did homestay at Muslim family I had no idea about customs of Muslims, many participants were not sensitive to the personal beliefs of others, there was a lot of miscommunication,              |   |
| 704 How motivated are you to further commit to the area of this IPP?  |   |
| 4,3   | (Mean answer)   |
| 705 How will you apply what you've experienced here in your country? (Excerpts)   |   |
| I would like to visit an orphanage in Japan, arrange presentations for different organisations (2), spread information (3), find similar projects in my country (2), apply as an English teacher in my country (2), share my experience and knowledge (2), live with more empathy, the IPP taught me about my own character, the IPP will influence very much how I see myself,   |   |
| 3,6   | To what extent were the specific goals and objectives achieved? (706) |
| 3,9   | To what extent were your personal goals & objectives achieved? (707)  |
| 4,2   | Please try to give this IPP an overall score. (708)                   |
| 709 More comments and recommendations for future IPPs? (Excerpts)   |   |
| Focus on one partner organisation (2), more concrete goals (2), more info and more clear details in precamps (4), this was a tremendous experience that I will never forget, if the specific goals change notify participants immediately, the time was too short to deal with trauma, participants are given space to express themselves, more ownership of the IPP for the participants (3), it is important to have one theme that can be achieved at the end of the camp, |   |